



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/597,925 | 09/13/2006 | Nicholas R. Blandford | 148761 | 7915 |
| 52082 | 7590 | 09/14/2010 | | |
| General Electric Company GE Global Patent Operation 2 Corporate Drive, Suite 648 Shelton, CT 06484 | | | EXAMINER HRUSKOCI, PETER A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1797 | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/14/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gpo.mail@ge.com
allyson.carnaroli@ge.com

| | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/597,925 | Applicant(s) BLANDFORD ET AL. | |
| | Examiner /Peter A. Hruskoci/ | Art Unit 1797 | |

All Participants:

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.

(2) Peter T. DiMauro.

Date of Interview: 9 September 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 5/20/10.

Claims discussed:
All

Prior art documents discussed:
Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Amendments to claims 1 and 14 were suggested to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of the test results shown in the instant Examples. These amendments included "without acid feed", "R1 is CH₃", "polymer (I) prepared via aqueous polymerization", and "maleic acid based scale inhibiting additive. Mr.DiMauro agreed to file a supplemental amendment for formal consideration..